# 12

# **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(21) Application number: 94302312.7

(22) Date of filing: 30.03.94

61 Int. CI.<sup>5</sup>: **F16F 15/02**, F16F 7/10,

B61B 12/04

(30) Priority: 30.03.93 JP 71696/93

(43) Date of publication of application : 05.10.94 Bulletin 94/40

84 Designated Contracting States : AT CH DE FR IT LI

(1) Applicant : Matsuhisa, Hiroshi 1-22-27, Hieidaira Otsu-shi, Shiga-ken (JP)

71 Applicant: TOKKYOKIKI CORPORATION 10-133, Minamihatsushima-cho Amagasaki-shi, Hyogo-ken (JP)


Applicant: ANZENSAKUDO CO., LTD. 1-13, Sasagaoka, Minakuchi-cho Kohga-gun, Shiga-ken (JP) 72 Inventor : Matsuhisa, Hiroshi
1-22-27, Hieidaira
Otsu-shi, Shiga-ken (JP)
Inventor : Yasuda, Masashi, c/o Tokkyokiki
Corporation
10-133, Minamihatsushima-cho
Amagasaki-shi, Hyogo-ken (JP)

(74) Representative: Linn, Samuel Jonathan et al MEWBURN ELLIS
York House
23 Kingsway
London WC2B 6HP (GB)

# 54) Dynamic vibration absorber for pendulum type structure.

Disclosed is a dynamic absorber (1) for pendulum type structure (2), particularly useful for suppressing swing. In operative association with the pendulum type structure, the dynamic absorber (1) is provided above the centre of gravity of the pendulum type structure (2) such that the dynamic absorber (1) can apply damping force to the pendulum type structure (2).

# Fig.1



FP 0 618 380 A1

The present invention relates to a dynamic absorber for pendulum type structure such as cable suspension transporter (gondola lift).

In recent years, cable suspension transporters used in skiing grounds or sightseeing places have been under discussion for adoption as traffic means, by virtue of their low construction cost as compared with monorail and the like. However, the largest disadvantage of those cable suspension transporters is their weakness to wind. Transporters suspended to steel cables are easily affected by drag of wind in terms of structure. Accordingly, they are currently stopped in operation at a wind velocity of approximately 15 m/s, but need to be operable for at least a wind velocity around 20 m/s to use them as a mean of city traffic. Thus, the technique for damping wind-excited vibration of cable suspension transporters gains considerable public attentions. However, general translational motion models cannot be applied to this technique, which necessitates a new technique for damping vibrations of rigid pendulums.

10

20

25

40

45

50

55

As concrete damping techniques for cable suspension transporters, there have conventionally been proposed one using gyroscopic moment(Nishihara, Matsuhisa, and Sato, Vibration Control Mechanism Using Gyroscopic Moment, Transactions of JSME, C, 57-534(1991), 497; Matsuoka and Nishida, Preventive Control of Swing of Gondola Lift by Using Gyroscopic Moment, Proceedings of JSME, No. 920-55, B (1992), 178). As for these, a trial product for six-passenger transporter has already been manufactured (Kanki H., Nekomoto Y. and Monobe H., Development of CMG Active Vibration Control Device for Gondola, The First International Conference on Motion and Vibration Control (MOVIC), (1992), 310), in which swing caused by wind is reduced to about 1/3. However, this has a problem that since the cable suspension transporter is not connected to external power supply, there arises a need of developing a power-saving system which can be driven by batteries.

Further, there have been proposed another damping technique using dynamic absorber on which there have been discussed spring-mass type absorber or pendulum type absorber (Sato and Chishima, On Reduction in Swing of Cable Suspension Transporter by Pendulum-Type Dynamic Absorber, Proceedings of JSME, C, No. 910-17(1991), 528). The spring-mass type absorber, when provided in proximity to the center of gravity of the transporter, would operate in such a way that the mass of the transporter and that of the dynamic absorber will move integrally with each other, resulting in no damping effect.

On the other hand, as for the pendulum type absorber, in the case that it is provided below the transporter as a double-pendulum system, since it requires a long arm of an additional pendulum for optimal tuning, it becomes impractical. Moreover, it is also discussed that the length of the arm is shortened by reducing the natural frequency as a result of inclining the pendulum (Sato, Hosokawa, and Chishima, Control of Swing of Cable Suspension Transporters by Inclined Pendulum Type Damper, Proceedings of JSME, A, No. 920-55(1992), 592). In this case, there would arise a problem as to the position where the dynamic absorber should be provided.

The present invention has been developed to solve the foregoing problems of the conventional techniques, and its object is to provide a dynamic absorber for pendulum type structure, which is particularly useful for suppressing the swing.

To achieve the above object, the present invention provides a dynamic absorber which is appended to a pendulum type structure and provided above the center of gravity of the pendulum type structure such that the dynamic absorber applies damping force to the pendulum type structure.

With the above-described construction of the invention, a relative displacement is generated between the mass element of the dynamic absorber and the pendulum type structure that supports it, so that vibration energy of the pendulum type structure can be absorbed.

- FIG. 1 is a view showing the outline of the overall construction of a pendulum type structure to which a dynamic absorber according to a first embodiment of the present invention is applied;
- FIG. 2 is a view showing frequency response of a system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 1 and that of the same system without the dynamic absorber;
- FIG. 3 is a view showing response to an initial displacement of a system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 1 and that of the same system without the dynamic absorber;
- FIG. 4 is a view showing response to random input of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 1:
- FIG. 5 is a view showing response to random input of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 1;
- FIG. 6 is a view showing response to random input of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG.
- FIG. 7 is a view showing the outline of the overall construction of a pendulum type structure to which a dynamic absorber according to a second embodiment of the present invention is applied;
- FIG. 8 is a view showing the outline of the overall construction of a pendulum type structure to which a dynamic absorber according to a third embodiment of the present invention is applied;

FIG. 9 is a side view showing the outline of the overall construction of a pendulum type structure to which a dynamic absorber according to a fourth embodiment of the present invention is applied;

FIG. 10 is a front view showing a state of swing of the embodiment shown in FIG. 9;

FIG. 11 is a view showing the outline of the overall construction of a pendulum type structure to which a dynamic absorber according to a fifth embodiment of the present invention is applied;

FIG. 12 is a view showing an inclined state of the link that supports the mass  $m_2$  of the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 11, as viewed in the A direction in FIG. 11;

FIG. 13 is a view showing response to an initial displacement, which is a result of an experiment made on a model of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 8;

FIG. 14 is a view showing response to an initial displacement, which is a result of an experiment made on a model of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 8; and

FIG. 15 is a view showing response to an initial displacement, which is a result of an experiment made on a model of the system with the dynamic absorber shown in FIG. 8.

An embodiment of the present invention is now described with reference to the accompanying drawings. FIG. 1 schematically illustrates components of a pendulum type structure 2 to which a spring-mass type dynamic absorber 1 according to a first embodiment of the present invention is applied.

A suspended member 11 is suspended such that it can swing by a supporting portion O (represented by a point in FIG. 1, and referred to as a fulcrum O) via a link 12, where the suspended member 11 and the link 12 constitute a pendulum type structure 2 with a mass  $m_1$ , (hereinafter, referred to as a mass  $m_1$ ), for example, a cable suspension transporter. The dynamic absorber 1 is provided above the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$ , for example, between the suspended member 11 and the fulcrum O in this embodiment, such that the dynamic absorber 1 applies damping force to the mass  $m_1$ . Accordingly, the dynamic absorber 1 is not restricted in configuration to but is functionally divided into a mass element 13 with a mass  $m_2$ , (hereinafter, referred to as a mass  $m_2$ ), lineary movable transversely of the link 12, a spring element 14 of a spring constant k interposed between the mass  $m_2$  and the link 12, and a damper element 15 of a damping coefficient c which operates in parallel to the spring element 14.

Further, in operative association with the mass  $m_1$ , the dynamic absorber 1 is provided above the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$  as described above such that, as described below, the dynamic absorber 1 can be optimally tuned to the natural vibration of the pendulum motion of the mass  $m_1$  depending on the appended mass ratio, thus applies damping force thereto.

Next, vibration of the mass  $m_1$  to which the above-described dynamic absorber 1 has been applied is theoretically analyzed.

### **Equations of motion**

35

5

10

15

20

25

30

As shown in FIG. 1, the mass  $m_1$  is provided so as to be able to swing about the fulcrum O, with its degree of freedom assumed to be 1 and its damping neglected. It is also assumed that the distance from the fulcrum O to the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$  is  $1_1$  and its angular displacement is  $\theta_1$ . The dynamic absorber 1 is provided at a distance 1 from the fulcrum O, and the displacement of the mass  $m_2$  transverse of the link 12 is assumed to be u. As described above, the spring constant of the spring element 14 is assumed to be k, and the damping coefficient of the damper element 15 is assumed to be c. Further, taking the fulcrum O as origin to establish the x, y coordinates as shown in FIG. 1, the position of the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$  (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>) and the position of the center of gravity of the mass  $m_2$  (x<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>) can be represented by the following Equations (1) through (4):

45

50

55

40

$$x_1 = I_1 \sin \theta_1$$
 (1)  
 $y_1 = I_1 \cos \theta_1$  (2)  
 $x_2 = I \sin \theta_1 + u \cos \theta_1$  (3)  
 $y_2 = I \cos \theta_1 - u \sin \theta_1$  (4)

Thus, velocities of both masses are expressed by the following Equations (5) through (8):

Kinetic energy T results as shown in the following equation (9), and positional energy V is represented by the following equation (10) if the gravity acceleration is g, and dissipation function F is represented by the following equation (11):

$$T = \frac{1}{2} m_1 I_1^{\mu} \dot{\theta}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 (I^{\mu} \dot{\theta}_1^2 + \dot{u}^2 + u^2 \dot{\theta}_1^2 + 2 l \dot{u} \dot{\theta}_1) \quad (9)$$

$$V = m_1 g I_1 (1 - \cos \theta_1) + m_2 g \{ I (1 - \cos \theta_1) + u \sin \theta_1 \} + \frac{1}{2} k u^2$$
 (10)  
$$F = \frac{1}{2} c \dot{u}^2$$
 (11)

From these equations, the following equations (12) and (13) can be obtained according to Lagrange's equation of motion in which the external force acting on the mass m<sub>1</sub> is represented as Pelot:

$$m_1 l_1^2 \theta_1 + m_2 (l_2 \theta_1 + 2u\dot{u}\dot{\theta}_1 + u^2 \theta_1 + l\ddot{u}) + m_1 g l_1 \sin \theta_1 + m_2 g (u \cos \theta_1 + l \sin \theta_1) = P l_1 e^{i\omega t}$$
 (12)

$$m_2(l \ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{u}) + m_2 g \sin \theta_1 - m_2 u \dot{\theta}_1^2 + c \dot{u} + k u = 0$$
 (13)

 $m_2(l \ \ddot{\theta_1} + \ddot{u}) + m_2 g \sin\theta_1 - m_2 u \dot{\theta}_1^2 + c \dot{u} + k u = 0$  (13) With  $\Theta_1$  and U assumed to be infinitesimal quantities, if high-order terms of Equations (12) and (13) are omitted and linearized, then the following Equations (14) and (15) are obtained:

$$m_2 P^2 + m_1 P_1^2$$
  $\dot{\theta}_1 + m_2 l \ddot{u} + (m_2 l + m_1 l_1) g \theta_1 + m_2 g u = P l_1 e^{l \omega t}$  (14)

$$m_2 l \ddot{\theta}_1 + m_2 \ddot{u} + c \dot{u} + m_2 g \theta_1 + k u = 0$$
 (15)

As a result of this, complex amplitude  $\theta_1$ , U of displacement can be represented by the following Equations (16) and (17):

$$\Theta_{1} = (-m_{2}\omega^{2} + k + i\omega c)PI_{1}/Z$$
 (16)  

$$U = (m_{2}I\omega^{2} - m_{2}g)PI_{1}/Z$$
 (17)  

$$Z = \{(m_{1}I_{1}^{2} + m_{2}I_{2}^{2})\omega^{2} + (m_{1}I_{1} + m_{2}I)g\}$$

$$\times (-m_{2}\omega^{2} + k + i\omega c) - (-m_{2}I\omega^{2} + m_{2}g)^{2}$$

At this point, for making the equations dimensionless, symbols as represented in Equation (18) are introduced into the equations:

$$\mu = m_2/m_1, \ \gamma = l/l_1, \qquad \Omega^2 = g/l_1$$

$$\omega_a^2 = k/m_2, \ \zeta = c/2m_2\Omega, \qquad f = \omega_a/\Omega \qquad (18)$$

$$h = \omega/\Omega, \qquad \theta_{st} = P/(m_1g), \ U_{st} = Pl_1/(m_1g)$$

Displacements of the main system (pendulum type structure 2) and the appended system (dynamic absorber 1) are represented as the following Equations (19) to (22):

$$\Theta_{1} = \frac{A + i2\zeta B}{C + i2\zeta D} \Theta_{st} \quad (19)$$

$$|\Theta_{1}| = \sqrt{\frac{A^{2} + 4\zeta^{2}B^{2}}{C^{2} + 4\zeta^{2}D^{2}}} \Theta_{st} \quad (20)$$

$$U = \frac{E}{C + i2\zeta D} U_{st} \quad (21)$$

$$|U| = \sqrt{\frac{E^{2}}{C^{2} + 4\zeta^{2}D^{2}}} U_{st} \quad (22)$$

where

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

$$A = f^{2} - h^{2}$$

$$B = h$$

$$C = (1 - h^{2})(f^{2} - h^{2}) - \mu(\gamma f^{2} - 1)(\gamma h^{2} - 1)$$

$$D = \{1 + \mu \gamma - (1 + \mu \gamma^{2})h^{2}\}h$$

$$E = -(1 - \gamma h^{2})$$

# Optimum adjustment

Equation (20) represents frequency response of the main system angular displacement, and has two resonant frequencies and one anti-resonant frequency as a vibratory system of two degree-of-freedom. Also, this frequency response passes two fixed points P and Q regardless of the value of damping ratio ζ. Therefore, by making the two points P and Q equal in height to each other, and making them maximum, optimum frequency ratio of dynamic absorber 1 to the main system  $f_{opt}$  and optimum damping ratio  $\zeta_{opt}$  of the dynamic absorber 1 between the main system and the dynamic absorber 1 can be obtained (Den Hartog, Mechanical Vibrations, (1950) McGraw-Hill).

First, from the condition that the frequency response passes fixed points, i.e. that Equation (20) becomes an identity with respect to  $\zeta$ , the frequencies of the fixed points P and Q, i.e.  $h_0$  and  $h_0$  as shown in the following Equation (23) are determined:

$$h_{p,q} = \sqrt{a \mp \sqrt{a^2 - b}}$$

$$a = \frac{1 + f^2(1 + \mu \gamma^2)}{2 + \mu \gamma^2}$$

$$b = \frac{2(1 + \mu \gamma)f^2 - \mu}{2 + \mu \gamma^2}$$
(23)

Then, since the fixed points P and Q are equal in height to each other, optimum natural frequency ratio f ≡ f<sub>opt</sub> of the dynamic absorber 1 and that of the main system are determined as shown in the following Equation (24):

$$f_{\text{opt}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\mu\gamma + \mu^2\gamma^3}{1 + \mu\gamma^2}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\mu\gamma}}{1 + \mu\gamma^2}$$
 (24)

 $f_{\rm opt} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\mu\gamma + \mu^2\gamma^3}{1 + \mu\gamma^2}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\mu\gamma}}{1 + \mu\gamma^2} \quad (24)$  Frequencies h<sub>p</sub> and h<sub>q</sub> of the two fixed points P and Q, respectively, in this case are represented by the following Equations (25) and (26):

$$h_{\rm p}^2 = \frac{(1 + \, \mu \gamma)(2 + \, \mu \gamma^2) - (1 - \, \gamma)\sqrt{\mu^2 \gamma^2 + 2\mu}}{(1 + \, \mu \gamma^2)(2 + \, \mu \gamma^2)} \qquad \text{(25)}$$
 
$$h_{\rm q}^2 = \frac{(1 + \, \mu \gamma)(2 + \, \mu \gamma^2) + (1 - \, \gamma)\sqrt{\mu^2 \gamma^2 + 2\mu}}{(1 + \, \mu \gamma^2)(2 + \, \mu \gamma^2)} \qquad \text{(26)}$$
 Further, the amplitudes of the main system at the fixed points P and Q are given by the following Equation

(27):

$$|\Theta_{1p}| = |\Theta_{1q}| = \frac{\sqrt{2 + \mu \gamma^2}}{(1 - \gamma)\sqrt{\mu}}\Theta_{st}$$
 (27)

Next, such a damping ratio  $\zeta$  that the amplitudes of the main system at the fixed points P and Q become maximum are determined from the following Equation (28):

$$\left. \frac{\partial \left| \theta_1 \right|}{\partial h} \right|_{h = h_p, h_q} = 0 \tag{28}$$

Therefore, a  $\zeta$  that satisfies the Equation (28) is the optimum damping ratio  $\zeta_{\text{opt}}$ . By substituting the Equation (20) for the Equation (28), the following Equation (29) is obtained:

$$(AA' + 4\zeta^2BB')(C^2 + 4\zeta^2D^2) - (A^2 + 4\zeta^2B^2) \times (CC' + 4\zeta^2DD') = 0$$
 (29)

where 'represents ∂/∂h and

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

 $C' = -2(1 + f^2)h + 4h^3 - 2\gamma\mu(\gamma f^2 - 1)h$ 

$$D' = 1 + \mu \gamma - 3(1 + \mu \gamma^2)h^2$$

From Equation (29) and Equation (20), the following Equation (30) is obtained:

$$\zeta_{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{AA' - |\Theta_1/\Theta_{\text{st}}|^2 CC'}{-BB' + |\Theta_1/\Theta_{\text{st}}|^2 DD'}}$$
(30)

 $\zeta_{\rm opt} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{AA' - |\Theta_1/\Theta_{\rm st}|^2 CC'}{-BB' + |\Theta_1/\Theta_{\rm st}|^2 DD'}}$  (30) Although there is a slight difference between  $\zeta_{\rm opt} \equiv \zeta_{\rm popt}$  at which the inclination becomes zero at the fixed point P and  $\zeta_{opt} \equiv \zeta_{qopt}$  at which the inclination becomes zero at the fixed point Q, these values are not so different from each other in actual adjustments. Thus, as shown in the following equation (31), an arithmetic mean of  $\zeta_{popt}$  and  $\zeta_{qopt}$  may also be employed as  $\zeta_{opt}$  for optimum adjustment as shown in the following Equation (31):

$$\zeta_{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{\text{popt}} + \zeta_{\text{qopt}})$$
 (31)

### Equivalent mass ratio

10

20

25

35

45

50

55

Equivalent mass ratio  $\mu_c$  representing the efficiency of the dynamic absorber 1 is defined by Equation (19) as below. Therefore, by setting f=1 and h=1 in the real part C of the denominator of Equation (19), the following Equation (32) is obtained:

$$\mu_e = \mu(1 - \gamma)^2$$
 (32)

 $\mu_e = \mu (1 - \gamma)^2 \quad (32)$  By substituting this Equation (32) for Equation (27), amplitudes at the fixed points of the main system are represented by the following Equation (33):

$$|\Theta_{1p}| = |\Theta_{1q}| = \sqrt{1 + \frac{2 - \mu(1 - 2\gamma)}{\mu_e}} \Theta_{st}$$
 (33)

Actually, in this Equation (33),  $\mu$  assumes a value less than 0.1, while  $\gamma$ , which assumes preferably a smallest possible value, assumes a value around 0.5. As a result, the amplitude can be approximated to  $\{1+(2/\mu_c)\}^{1/2}\Theta_{st}$ , thus the amplitude is expressed by the equivalent mass ratio. From Equation (32), if  $\gamma$  is 1, i.e. if the dynamic absorber 1 is provided at the center of gravity of the mass m1, there is no damping effect, whereas if  $\gamma$  is out of 1, damping effect is developped. Actually,  $\mu_c = 0.25\mu$  even when  $\gamma = 1/2$ , so that the dynamic absorber 1 is preferably provided at an upper portion as much as possible for enhancing damping effect.

Next described is the physical grounds of why no effect is produced when the dynamic absorber 1 is provided at the center of gravity of the main system  $(I = I_1)$ .

If an equation of Equation (15) multiplied by I is subtracted from Equation (14), then an equation of motion on the rotation of the main system as shown in the following Equation (34) can be obtained:

$$m_1 l_1^2 \stackrel{..}{\theta_1} + m_1 g l_1 \theta_1 - c l \dot{u} + m_2 g u - k l u = P l_1 e^{i \omega t}$$
 (34)

Of the left side of Equation (34), the first term is an inertia term, the second is a restoring moment, the third is moment by damping of the dynamic absorber 1, the fourth is a moment caused by gravity acting on the mass m<sub>2</sub> of the dynamic absorber 1, and the fifth is moment caused by the spring element 14 of the dynamic absorber 1. In the optimum tuning, the natural frequency of the dynamic absorber 1 and the natural frequency of the main system are approximately equal to each other, so that an equation  $k/m_2 = g/l_1$  is satisfied, and the fourth and fifth terms eliminate each other. Accordingly, the main system and the dynamic absorber 1 are coupled with each other only by the dampers of two systems having the same natural frequency, so that they vibrate integrally with each other, where the damping force does not act any more.

## Frequency response

FIGURE. 2 shows frequency responses of a system having the dynamic absorber 1 adjusted in optimum manner and that of another system not having a dynamic absorber 1. Parameters are set to  $I_1 = 4$  m and  $m_1 =$ 1 ton, on the assumption of a six-passengers cable suspension transporter as an example. Although the damping ratio of the main system in actual machines is less than 1%, it is assumed as 1% in this example. As shown by one-dot chain line, the dimensionless amplitude  $|\Theta_1|/\Theta_{st}$  at the resonant frequency assumes 50 when the dynamic absorber 1 is not provided. In contrast to this, as shown by solid line, when the dynamic absorber 1 is provided,  $|\Theta_1|/\Theta_{st}$  assumes 9 at equivalent mass ratio  $\mu_c$  = 0.025, and 6.4 at  $\mu_c$  = 0.05. Consequently, it can be said that there is a substantial effect of providing the dynamic absorber 1, and the effect of  $\mu_c$  can be found to appear remarkably in damping effect.

## Transient response

FIGURE. 3 shows time response to an initial displacement. In this figure, a case in which the dynamic absorber 1 is not provided is shown by one-dot chain line, while other cases in which the dynamic absorber 1 provided are shown by solid line ( $\mu_c$  = 0.05) and broken line ( $\mu_c$  = 0.025). FIG. 4 to FIG. 6 shows responses obtained when normalized quantity P/m<sub>1</sub>g of variable component of external force caused by wind is inputted. This normalized quantity is obtained through numerical simulation by setting a sampling interval to 0.3 second in normal random numbers having a mean value 0 and standard deviationd  $\sigma$  = 0.0886. These simulations are performed by the Adams method. It is noted that FIG. 4 shows a case in which the dynamic absorber 1 is not provided, while FIG. 5 ( $\mu_e$  = 0.05) and FIG. 6 ( $\mu_e$  = 0.025) show cases in which the dynamic absorber 1 is pro-

FIGURE. 7 schematically illustrates components of a pendulum type structure 2a in which a dynamic absorber 1a of pendulum type according to a second embodiment of the present invention is applied. In FIG. 7, parts in common with those of FIG. 1 are designated by the same numerals as in FIG. 1.

10

20

25

30

35

40

50

55

A suspended member 11 is suspended so as to be swingable by a supporting portion O (hereinafter, referred to as a fulcrum O as in the foregoing description) via a link 12a, where the suspended member 11 and the link 12a constitute a pendulum type structure 2a with a mass  $m_1$  (hereinafter, referred to as a mass  $m_1$  as in the foregoing). The dynamic absorber 1a is provided above the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$ , for example, at a supporting portion  $O_1$  (hereinafter, referred to as a fulcrum  $O_1$ ) on the link 12a positioned on the side opposite to the suspended member 11 with respect to the fulcrum O in this embodiment such that the dynamic absorber 1 applies damping force to the mass  $m_1$ . Accordingly, the dynamic absorber 1a, which is not restricted in configuration to but is functionally divided into a link 21 provided such that the dynamic absorber Ia can swing around the fulcrum  $O_1$ , a mass element 13 with a mass  $m_2$  (hereinafter, referred to as a mass  $m_2$  as in the foregoing) suspended to the link 21, and a damper element 15 of a damping coefficient c interposed between the link 21 and the link 12a.

Further, in operative association with the mass  $m_1$ , the dynamic absorber 1 is provided above the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$  as in the first embodiment such that the dynamic absorber 1 can be optimally tuned to the natural vibration of the pendulum motion of the mass  $m_1$  depending on the appended mass ratio (mass of appended system/mass of main system), thus applied damping force thereto.

Next, vibration of the mass m<sub>1</sub> to which the above-described dynamic absorber la has been applied is theoretically analyzed.

As shown in FIG. 7, the fulcrum  $O_1$  of an appended system pendulum in the dynamic absorber 1a is disposed above the fulcrum O of the main system. A distance between the fulcrum  $O_1$  and the fulcrum O is 1. It is assumed that angular displacement of the link 12a of the main system and that of the link 21 of the dynamic absorber la are  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , respectively, and lengths from the fulcrums O,  $O_1$  to the centers of gravity of the masses m, m<sub>1</sub>, i.e. lengths of the arms are  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , respectively. Then positions of the main-system and appended-system masses are represented by the following Equations (35) to (38):

$$x_1 = I_1 \sin \theta_1$$
 (35)  
 $y_1 = I_1 \cos \theta_1$  (36)  
 $x_2 = I_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) - I \sin \theta_1$  (37)  
 $y_2 = I_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) - I \cos \theta_1$  (38)

If the damping coefficient of the appended system is c, external force acting on the main system is Peiot, then linearization by using Lagrange's equation results in the following Equations (39) and (40):

$$(m_1l_1^2 + m_2l_2^2 + m_2l^2 - 2m_2ll_2) \theta_1 + (m_2l_2^2 - m_2ll_2) \theta_2 + (m_1l_1 + m_2l_2 - m_2l)g\theta_1 + m_2l_2g\theta_2 = Pl_1e^{i\omega t}$$
(39)

$$(m_2l_2^p - m_2ll_2)^{\ddot{\theta}_1} + m_2l_2^p ^{\ddot{\theta}_2} + cl_2^p\dot{\theta}_2 + m_2gl_2\theta_1 + m_2gl_2\theta_2 = 0 \quad (40)$$

These equations are made dimensionless by using symbols used in the following Equation (41) and symbols used in Equation (18), then the equations which give the angular displacements of the main system and the appended system result in the same as Equations (19) and (21). Also, the optimum tuning and the equivalent mass ratio are given by Equations (24), (30), and (32).

$$\gamma = (I_2 - I)/I_1, \omega_a^2 = g/I_2$$
 (41)

FIG. 8 schematically illustrates components of a pendulum type structure 2b using a circular-track type dynamic absorber 1b according to a third embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 8, parts in common with those of FIG. 8 are designated by the same numerals as in FIG. 7. This dynamic absorber 1b differs from the counterpart in FIG. 7, in that whereas the mass  $m_2$  is suspended from the fulcrum  $O_1$  via the link 21 in FIG. 7, the mass  $m_2$  is supported on a circular track 22 such that the mass  $m_2$  is able to roll on the circular track 22. The circular track 22 is integrated with the link 12b in this embodiment, but substantially the same as in FIG. 7 in terms of dynamics. In addition, in the embodiment as shown in FIG. 8, the damper element is interposed in the rollers, which are rolling elements, and thus not shown in the figure.

Further, as another embodiment, the mass  $m_2$  as shown in FIG. 8 is not used, but instead the mass  $m_2$  may be disposed on a circular track 22 which swings integrally with a link 12b. The mass  $m_2$  may be able to roll relatively to the circular track 22, and a damper element may be interposed in the roller portion.

FIG. 9 and FIG. 10 schematically illustrate components of a pendulum type structure 2c using a dynamic absorber 1c of pendulum type according to a fourth embodiment of the present invention. In the figures, parts in common with the above-mentioned embodiments are designated by the same numerals as those in FIG. 9 and FIG. 10, and descriptions thereof are omitted.

This dynamic absorber 1c, as shown in FIG. 9, is so arranged that while a link 12c is kept still in a vertical state, a link 21c suspending a mass  $m_2$  is inclined with respect to the horizontal direction by an angle  $\alpha$  (0° <  $\alpha$  < 90°) (the sign of  $\alpha$  is assumed to be positive in the downward direction in FIG. 9). A damper element 15 is

not restricted in configuration to but is functionally interposed between the link 12c and the link 21c.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Next, vibration of the mass m<sub>1</sub> to which the above-described dynamic absorber 1 has been applied is theoretically analyzed.

In the case of a double pendulum in which an appended system pendulum is provided below the main system, a long period of the main system would result in also a long arm of the appended system pendulum, to a disadvantage in practical use. Thus, the dynamic absorber 1c as shown in FIG. 9 and FIG. 10 is so made that a long period is obtained by a short arm. When an appended system pendulum with an arm length of  $I_2$  is provided so as to be inclined at an angle  $\alpha$  with respect to the horizontal plane, the resulting natural frequency can be represented by the following Equation (42):

$$\omega^2 = \frac{g \sin \alpha}{l_2} \quad (42)$$

As shown in FIG. 10, if an angular displacement of the appended system pendulum is  $\theta_2$ , then the position of the mass of the main system and that of the appended system can be represented by the following Equations (43) to (46):

$$x_1 = I_1 \sin \theta_1$$
 (43)  
 $y_1 = I_1 \cos \theta_1$  (44)

$$\begin{cases} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 \\ -\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} l_2 \sin \theta_2 \\ l + l_2 \cos \theta_2 \sin \alpha \end{cases}$$
 (45)

$$z_2 = -l_2 \cos\theta_2 \cos\alpha \quad (46)$$

From these equations, Lagrange's equation of motion is prepared and made dimensionless by using symbols as used in the following Equation (47) and symbols as used in Equation (18), displacements of the main system and that of the appended system result in the Equations (19) and (21), as in the preceding embodiment. Optimum adjustment and equivalent mass ratio are also given by the Equations (24), (30), and (32).

$$\gamma = (I_2 \sin \alpha + I)/I_1, \omega_a^2 = g \sin \alpha/I_2$$
 (47)

FIG. 11 and FIG. 12 schematically illustrate components of a pendulum type structure 2d in which a dynamic absorber 1d of inverted-inclined pendulum type according to a fifth embodiment of the present invention is applied. Parts in common with FIG. 7 are designated by the same numerals as in FIG. 7.

In this embodiment, a suspended member 11 is suspended, so as to be able to swing, by a supporting portion O (hereinafter, referred to as a fulcrum O as in the foregoing description) via a link 12d, where the suspended member 11 and the link 12d constitute a pendulum type structure 2d with a mass  $m_1$  (hereinafter, referred to as a mass  $m_1$  as in the foregoing). The dynamic absorber 1d, which comprises a mass element  $m_2$  supported by an inverted link 21d extending upward from the fulcrum  $O_1$  on the link 12d, a spring element 14 (rotational spring constant: k') interposed between the link 12d and the inverted link 21d, and a damper element 15 (damping coefficient: c), is provided above the center of gravity of the mass  $m_1$  such that the dynamic absorber ld can applies damping force to the mass  $m_1$ .

Also, as shown in FIG. 12, the link 21d is inclined at an angle  $\alpha$  (-90  $\leq \alpha$  < 0) to the z'-axis parallel to the z-axis (the sign of  $\alpha$  is assumed to be positive in the downward direction in FIG. 12) . In addition, FIG. 12 is given to clarify the angle  $\alpha$ , and other components which are not directly linked with this purpose are not illustrated in FIG. 12.

It is noted that the theory detailed in connection with the first embodiment is basically applicable to the present embodiment only if Equation (42) and the second Equation of (47)( $\omega_a^2 = g \sin \alpha/l_2$ ), both of which represent the natural frequency of the appended system pendulum, are replaced with equations obtained by adding (k'/( $m_2 \cdot l_2^2$ )) to right side of each of Equation (42) and the second Equation of (47). Therefore, its description is here omitted.

Next, experiments were made on models using the circular-track type dynamic absorber 1b according to the third embodiment as shown in FIG. 8 by way of example. In the experiments,  $I_1$  = 1 m,  $m_1$  = 8 kg,  $m_2$  = 0.8 kg, the radius of the circular track was 1 m. Furthermore, as for the location of the dynamic absorber 1b, three positions:  $\gamma$  = 0.25 ( $\mu_e$  = 0.56), 0.5 ( $\mu_e$  = 0.025), and 1 ( $\mu_e$  = 0) were chosen. Response due to an initial displacement in each case is shown in FIG. 13 to FIG. 15. Like the results of theoretical analysis, there is shown almost no damping effect if the dynamic absorber 1b is provided in proximity to the center of gravity of the main system ( $\gamma$  = 1). As the dynamic absorber 1b is provided further above the center of gravity ( $\gamma$  = 0.5,  $\gamma$  = 0.25), greater damping effect is produced. However, in these experiments, damping of the dynamic absorber 1b depends on friction between the dynamic absorber 1b and the circular track 22, and is not tuned to an op-

timum state.

10

20

25

30

35

The present invention is not restricted to cable suspension transporters as its application object, but is applicable to the overall range of pendulum type structures. The damping by the present invention differs from that by dynamic absorbers of conventional translational motion systems in that, in the present invention, the mass of the dynamic absorber as well as the main system is subject to gravity by the inclination of the main system. When the dynamic absorber is provided at the center of gravity of the main system, moment based on the spring force caused by displacement of the dynamic absorber and moment based on the spring force caused by the gravity of the dynamic absorber cancel each other among the moments acting on the main system. As a result, the main system and the dynamic absorber is of such an arrangement that two systems having the same natural frequency are coupled with each other by a damper, thus integrally swinging. However, if the dynamic absorber is positioned away from the center of gravity of the main system, moment acts on the main system from the dynamic absorber.

As described above, for the present invention, taking the location of the absorber as a parameter, damping by dynamic absorbers of spring mass type, pendulum type, circular-track type, inclining pendulum type, and inverted inclining pendulum type can be analyzed, thus explained by generalized theoretical formula. The optimum adjustment and the equivalent mass ratio representing damping effect are obtained by multiplying the mass ratio  $\mu$  of the dynamic absorber and the main system by  $(1 - \gamma)^2$  (where  $\gamma$  is a result of dividing the distance from the fulcrum to the point where the dynamic absorber is provided by the length of the arm of the main system). Accordingly, for damping vibrations, the dynamic absorber is preferably installed upward as much as possible.

In addition, the first to fifth embodiments have been described, where only one unit of the dynamic absorbers 1 to 1d is provided in each embodiment. However, the present invention is not restricted to this, but includes cases where a plurality of the dynamic absorbers 1 to 1d are provided to balance the pendulum type structures 2 - 2d in the direction of progress, i.e. in the direction vertical to the x-y plane. For example, in the case of FIG. 12, in addition to the dynamic absorber 1d as shown in the figure, one more dynamic absorber 1d may be provided at a position symmetrical in the z-axis direction with respect to the y-axis.

As apparent from the above description, according to the present invention, one or more dynamic absorbers are provided above the center of gravity of a pendulum type structure in accompaniment to the pendulum type structure, in such a way that the dynamic absorbers can apply damping force to the pendulum type structure.

Accordingly, as described in detail above, a relative displacement is generated between the mass element of a dynamic absorber and a pendulum type structure supporting it, so that vibration energy of the pendulum type structure is absorbed. As a result, damping effect to the pendulum type structure can be exerted clearly without requiring any power such as electric power supply or elongating the arm of the pendulum. Thus, the swing of the pendulum type structure can be suppressed with enhanced effect, thereby resulting in a wider variety of applications of the pendulum type structure, advantageously.

### Claims

40

A dynamic absorber 1 for pendulum type structure 2 characterised in that, in operative association with the pendulum type structure 2, the dynamic absorber 1 is provided above a centre of gravity of the pendulum type structure such that the dynamic absorber can apply damping force to the pendulum type structure.

45

A dynamic absorber according to claim 1 wherein in use a mass of the dynamic absorber is subject to gravity by an inclination of the pendulum type structure.

50

Apparatus including at least one dynamic absorber according to any of claims 1 or claim 2 and a pendulum type structure.

Apparatus according to claim 3 wherein the pendulum type structure includes a suspended member 11, supported by a link 12 so that it is pivotable around a supporting portion 0.

55

Apparatus according to claim 4 wherein the dynamic absorber includes a mass element 13 movable transversely to the link 12, spring means 14 and damping means 15 for damping the motion of the mass element 13.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

6. Apparatus according to claim 4 wherein the dynamic absorber includes a mass element 13 suspended by a link 21 so that it is pivotable around a fulcrum O<sub>1</sub> which lies on a line passing through the supporting portion O and the suspended member 11, and damping means 15 for damping the motion of the mass element 13. 7. Apparatus according to claim 6 wherein the mass element 13 lies above the fulcrum O<sub>1</sub>. 8. Apparatus according to claim 6 or claim 7 wherein the fulcrum  $O_1$  is on the opposite side of the supporting portion O to the suspended member 11. 9. Apparatus according to claim 5 wherein the dynamic absorber is tuned substantially to the natural vibration of the pendulum motion of the pendulum type structure. 10. Use of apparatus according to any one of claims 3 to 9 as a cable suspension transporter.

Fig.1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7





Fig.8








Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13





Fig. 14





# **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

Application Number

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				EP 94302312.7	
Category	Citation of document with indication of relevant passages	, where appropriate,	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 5)	
A	<u>CH - A - 140 562</u> (ADOLF BLEICHERT & * Fig. 1 *	¿ CO.)	1	F 16 F 15/02 F 16 F 7/10 B 61 B 12/04	
A	DE - C - 205 974 (WILHELM SCHMITZ) * Fig. 1,2 *		1		
A	EP - A - 0 204 330 (NIPPON KOKAN KABUSHIKI KAISHA) * Abstract; fig				
A	EP - A - 0 474 269 (MITSUBISHI JUKOGY KABUSHIKI KAISHA) * Fig. 1-8 *		- 8-		
A .	EP - A - 0 206 348 (NIPPON KOKAN KABUSHIKI KAISHA) * Fig. 1-6 *	<u> </u>	*	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. CL5)	
				F 16 F B 61 B E 04 B	
	The present search report has been dra				
	Place of search VIENNA (	Date of completion of the search $39-06-1994$	P	Examiner IRKER	
X: par Y: par doc A: tec	CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS  ticularly relevant if taken alone ticularly relevant if combined with another tument of the same category hnological background n-written disclosure ermediate document	T: theory or princi E: earlier patent d after the filing D: document cited L: document cited  &: member of the document	ocument, but pu date in the applicati for other reason	blished on, or on is	